

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

PPLICATION NO	D. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,277		02/13/2001	Dominique Therese Marie Frechon	P66034US0	5117
136	7590	09/27/2004		EXAM	INER
	ON HOLM	· <del>-</del>	DUFFY, PATRICIA ANN		
SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHIN	GTON, DC	20004	1645	4,,-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/674,277	FRECHON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Patricia A. Duffy	1645		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re oly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 20-60 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 20-60 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable as a second or a se		v the Eveniner		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been in the part of the part	oplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _·		

Art Unit: 1645

## DETAILED ACTION

The new claims as set forth in the amendment of 5-12-04 are not interpretable with respect to the correction to the specification and assertion that SEQ ID NOS:3-20 are fragments of SEQ ID NO:1 and SEQ ID NOS:21-27 are fragments of SEQ ID NO:2. Applicants' new claims are inconsistent and contradict this assertion. The lack of unity set forth herein depicts the claims as specifically recited in view of the claimed dependency and not Applicants assertion. It is noted that claims 24 and 25 therefore appear as dependent from the recited sequence in the respective independent claim.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 20, 23, and 25, drawn to the first appearing technical feature of an isolated nucleic acid consisting of SEQ ID NO:1 or SEQ ID NO:2 or their complementary sequences or a fragment of SEQ ID NO:2 or a sequence derived from SEQ ID NO:2 by mutation, insertion, deletion and/or substitution of one or more bases.

Group 2, claims 21, 22, 24 drawn to fragments and derivatives of SEQ ID NO:1, representing the second technical feature.

Group 3, claims 26, 55, 56 and, 57, drawn to combinations of primer pairs or pairs of fragments and kits comprising these, representing the third technical feature.

Art Unit: 1645

Group 4, claims 27 and 29 drawn to a particularly deposited plasmid and host cell containing the plasmid, the fourth technical feature.

Group 5, claims 28 and 30, drawn to a particularly deposited plasmid and host cell containing the plasmid, the fifth technical feature.

Group 6, claims 31-41 and 59 drawn to the first method of use of the first appearing method of the technical feature of Group 1, fragments of SEQ ID NO:2.

Group 7, claims 42-54 and 60 drawn to the first method of use of the second appearing technical feature of Group 2, fragments of SEQ ID NO:1.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-7 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The technical features of Groups 1-7 are set forth supra. Group 1 is anticipated by Makino et al., (DNA Research, 541:1-9, Feb 28, 1998). Makino et al teach the isolation and purification of a plasmid that inherently contains a sequence that is 98.7% identical as compared to SEQ ID NO:2 and as such meets the limitation of derived sequence of SEQ ID NO:2 by mutation, insertion, deletion, and/or substitution and would hybridize to SEQ ID NO:2 under highly stringent conditions. Further, the fragments are anticipated by Sigma Chemical Company that teaches monophosphorylated nucleic acid bases (AMP), the smallest fragment of any nucleic acid sequence. Applicants argued that the sequence was not publicly available, this is not persuasive, the sequence is inherent to the isolated plasmid and therefore the claim as drafted is anticipated. Additionally, the claim as it now recites consisting of SEQ ID NO:1 lacks unity of invention with claim 21 or any fragment thereof, because claim 21 does not consist of SEQ ID NO:1, but is something different and therefore claim 21 does not

Art Unit: 1645

share the same corresponding technical feature of Group 1. The first appearing technical feature of Group 1 is anticipated by the art and does not share the corresponding technical feature of Groups 2-5 as defined *supra*. Because the technical feature of Group 1 is anticipated, the technical feature of Group 1 is not "special" in that it does not define a technical feature that defines the invention over the art as set forth in PCT Rule 13.2 and therefore the associated method of use of the first appearing technical feature (Group 6) lacks unity of invention with Group 1. The method of Group 7 (i.e. claim 42) does not share the corresponding technical feature of Group 1 and therefore by definition lacks unity of invention.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Group 1, any of the claimed fragments of SEQ ID NO:2 (see list of recited fragments in claims 23 and 25).

Group 2, any of the claimed fragments of SEQ ID NO:1 (see list of recited fragments in claims 22 and 24).

Group 3, any single pair of the recited primer pairs (see list of recited pairs of fragments in claims 26, 55-57).

Group 6, any method of use of any the claimed fragments of SEQ ID NO:2 or a specific combination thereof.

Group 7, any method of use of the claimed fragments of SEQ ID NO:1 or a specific combination thereof.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently

Art Unit: 1645

added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 20, 21, 31 and 42.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: because the technical feature of Group 1 that includes the generic fragment language anticipated as set forth above, and therefore do not define a novel contribution over the prior art. Because claim 20 of Group 1 is anticipated and the generic fragment of SEQ ID NO:2 is anticipated, the claimed species of fragments lack unity of invention because they fail to share a corresponding special technical feature or similar structure that defines them over the art. The species are not linked by a corresponding technical feature that is "special" within the meaning of Rule 13.2.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1645

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia A. Duffy whose telephone number is 571-272-0855. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 pm - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Smith Lynette can be reached on 571-272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia A. Duffy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1645